

ON A SPACE OF INFINITELY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS ON
AN UNBOUNDED CONVEX SET IN $\mathbb{R}^n$ ADMITTING HOLOMORPHIC
EXTENSION IN $\mathbb{C}^n$ AND ITS DUAL ¹

I.Kh. MUSIN, P.V. FEDOTOVA

ABSTRACT. We consider a space of infinitely smooth functions on an unbounded closed convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is shown that each function of this space can be extended to an entire function in $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying some prescribed growth condition. Description of linear continuous functionals on this space in terms of their Fourier-Laplace transform is obtained. Also a variant of the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem for tempered distributions is given in the paper.

§1. Introduction

1.1. On a problem. Let C be an open convex acute cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the apex in the origin [1, p. 73] and b be a convex continuous positively homogeneous function of degree 1 on $\overline{C}$ – the closure of C in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The pair (b, C) determines a closed convex unbounded set

$$U(b, C) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : -\langle \xi, y \rangle \leq b(y), \forall y \in C\},$$

not containing a straight line.

Note that the interior of the set $U(b, C)$ is not empty and coincides with the set

$$V(b, C) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : -\langle \xi, y \rangle < b(y), \forall y \in \overline{C}\},$$

and closure of $V(b, C)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is $U(b, C)$.

For brevity denote $U(b, C)$ by U and $V(b, C)$ by V .

Let $M = (M_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers M_k such that $M_0 = 1$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln M_k}{k} = +\infty.$$

¹This work was supported by the grants RFBR 08-01-00779, 08-01-97023 and LSS-3081.2008.1.

Define the space $G_M(U)$ of infinitely differentiable functions f on U as follows. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $G_{m,\varepsilon}(U)$ be the space of $C^\infty(U)$ -functions f with a finite norm

$$p_{m,\varepsilon}(f) = \sup_{x \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{|(D^\alpha f)(x)|(1 + \|x\|)^m}{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Put $G_M(U) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} G_{m,\varepsilon}(U)$. Thus, $G_M(U)$ is a subclass of the Schwartz class of fast decreasing functions on U .

With usual operations of addition and multiplications by complex numbers $G_M(U)$ is a linear space. The family of norms $p_{m,\varepsilon}$ defines a local convex topology in $G_M(U)$.

Note that if $(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary decreasing to zero sequence of positive numbers ε_m then the topology in $G_M(U)$ can be determined also by the system of norms

$$p_m(f) = \sup_{x \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{|(D^\alpha f)(x)|(1 + \|x\|)^m}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We are interested to have a description of the strong dual space to the spaces $G_M(U)$ in terms of the Fourier-Laplace transform of continuous linear functionals on $G_M(U)$.

Detailed consideration of this problem depends on additional conditions on a sequence M . J.W. de Roever [2] studied this problem under the following assumptions on M :

- 1). $M_k^2 \leq M_{k-1} M_{k+1}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- 2). $\exists H_1 > 1 \exists H_2 > 1 \forall k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad M_{k+m} \leq H_1 H_2^{k+m} M_k M_m$;
- 3). $\exists A > 0 \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{k-1}}{M_k} \leq A m \frac{M_m}{M_{m+1}}$.

In this case the sequence M is not quasianalytic. Also from conditions 1) and 3) it follows that there exist numbers $h_1, h_2 > 0$ such that

$$M_k \geq h_1 h_2^k k!, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The same problem was considered in [3] (using other methods) under more weak restrictions on the sequence M . Namely, the conditions 2) and 3) were replaced with the following conditions:

- 2)'. $\exists H_1 > 1 \exists H_2 > 1 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad M_{k+1} \leq H_1 H_2^k M_k$;
- 3)'. $\exists Q_1 > 0 \exists Q_2 > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad M_k \geq Q_1 Q_2^k k!$.

Here we study this problem assuming that the sequence M with $M_0 = 1$ satisfies the following conditions:

- $i_1)$. $M_k^2 \leq M_{k-1}M_{k+1}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- $i_2)$. $\exists H_1 > 1 \exists H_2 > 1 \forall k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad M_{k+m} \leq H_1 H_2^{k+m} M_k M_m$;
- $i_3)$. $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists a_\varepsilon > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad M_k \leq a_\varepsilon \varepsilon^k k!$;
- $i_4)$. $\exists \gamma \in (0, 1) \exists b_1 > 0 \exists b_2 > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad M_k \geq b_1 b_2^k k!^\gamma$;
- $i_5)$. there exists a logarithmically convex non-decreasing sequence $K = (K_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ with $K_0 = 1$ such that for some $t_1 > 1, t_2 > 1$

$$t_1^{-1} t_2^{-m} K_m \leq \frac{m!}{M_m} \leq t_1 t_2^m K_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The sequence $(m!^\alpha)_{m=0}^\infty$ (where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$) is a simple example of such a sequence.

1.2. Definitions and notations. For $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m(\mathbb{C}^m)$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m(\mathbb{C}^m)$ let $\langle u, v \rangle = u_1 v_1 + \dots + u_m v_m$, $\|u\|$ be the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^m(\mathbb{C}^m)$.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $R > 0$ let $B_R(z)$ be a ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$ of a radius R with the center at the point z . Let $\nu_m(R) = \nu_m(1)R^{2m}$ be a volume of $B_R(z)$.

Denote the tubular domain $\mathbb{R}^n + iC$ by T_C .

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$) then the distance from $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}^m$) to the set Ω we denote by $d_\Omega(x)$ ($d_\Omega(z)$). The distance from $x \in \Omega$ ($z \in \Omega$) to the boundary of Ω is denoted by $\Delta_\Omega(x)$ ($\Delta_\Omega(z)$).

For a locally convex space X let X' be the space of linear continuous functionals on X and let X^* be the strong dual space.

For open set Ω in $\mathbb{C}^m$ $H(\Omega)$ is a set of holomorphic functions in Ω , $psh(\Omega)$ is a set of plurisubharmonic functions in Ω .

With a sequence $L = (L_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ of positive numbers L_k with $L_0 = 1$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln L_k}{k} = +\infty$$

let us associate the function ω_L : $\omega_L(r) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{r^k}{L_k}$ for $r > 0$ and $\omega_L(0) = 0$.

If decreasing to zero sequence $(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ of numbers ε_m is chosen then for brevity denote $\omega_M(\frac{r}{\varepsilon_m})$ by $\omega_m(r)$, $r \geq 0$.

1.3. Main results. Note that from the condition $i_3)$ on the sequence M it follows that each function belonging to $G_M(U)$ admits holomorphic continuation in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Using the conditions $i_4)$ and $i_5)$ it can be shown (see theorem 1) that the space $G_M(U)$ is topologically isomorphic to the space $E(U)$ of entire functions f in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C_{m,\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq C_{m,\varepsilon} \frac{e^{w_K(\varepsilon d_U(x)) + w_K(\varepsilon \|y\|)}}{(1 + \|z\|)^m}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

endowed with a topology defined by the family of norms

$$q_{m,\varepsilon}(f) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} \frac{|f(z)|(1 + \|z\|)^m}{e^{w_K(\varepsilon d_U(x)) + w_K(\varepsilon \|y\|)}} , \quad \varepsilon > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here as usual $x = \operatorname{Re} z, y = \operatorname{Im} z$.

For description of dual space to $G_M(U)$ we use a method of paper [4]. But to use it first we have to study the Fourier-Laplace transform of tempered distributions with support in $U(b, C)$. That's why we consider the space $S(U)$ of $C^\infty(U)$ -functions f such that for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\|f\|_{p,U} = \sup_{x \in V, |\alpha| \leq p} |(D^\alpha f)(x)|(1 + \|x\|)^p < \infty.$$

Let $S_p(U)$ be a completion of $S(U)$ by the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,U}$. We endow $S(U)$ with a topology of projective limit of the spaces $S_p(U)$. It is known that $S^*(U)$ is topologically isomorphic to the space of tempered distributions with support in $U(b, C)$ [5].

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$V_{b,m}(T_C) = \{f \in H(T_C) : N_m(f) = \sup_{z \in T_C} \frac{|f(z)|e^{-b(y)}}{(1 + \|z\|)^m(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)})^m} < \infty\},$$

$$H_m(T_C) = \{F \in H(T_C) : \|F\|_m = \sup_{z \in T_C} \frac{|F(z)|}{e^{\omega_m(\|z\|)}(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)})^m} < \infty\} ,$$

where $z = x + iy, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in C$.

Put $H_M(T_C) = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty H_m(T_C)$, $V_b(T_C) = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty V_{b,m}(T_C)$. With usual operations of addition and multiplication by complex numbers $H_M(T_C)$ and $V_b(T_C)$ are linear spaces. Supply $H_M(T_C)$ ($V_b(T_C)$) with the topology of inductive limit of spaces $H_m(T_C)$ ($V_{b,m}(T_C)$).

The Fourier-Laplace transform of a functional $\Phi \in S^*(U)$ ($\Phi \in G_M^*(U)$) is defined by formula

$$\hat{\Phi}(z) = (\Phi, e^{i\langle \xi, z \rangle}), \quad z \in T_C.$$

The Laplace transform of a functional $\Phi \in E^*(U)$ is defined by formula

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z) = (\Phi, e^{i\langle \lambda, z \rangle}), \quad z \in T_C.$$

The following results on description of dual spaces are obtained in the paper.

Theorem 2. *The Fourier-Laplace transform $\mathcal{F} : S^*(U) \rightarrow V_b(T_C)$ establishes a topological isomorphism between the spaces $S^*(U)$ and $V_b(T_C)$.*

If $b(y) = a\|y\|$ ($a \geq 0$) then we have well-known result of V.S. Vladimirov [1]. The main part in the proof of theorem 2 is proving that $\mathcal{F}$ is acting "into".

Theorem 3. *The Fourier-Laplace transform establishes a topological isomorphism between the spaces $G_M^*(U)$ and $H_M(T_C)$.*

Theorem 4. *The Laplace transform establishes a topological isomorphism between the spaces $E^*(U)$ and $H_M(T_C)$.*

The proof of theorem 1 is given in the third section. The proof of theorem 2 is in the forth section. Theorem 3 is proved in the fifth section and theorem 4 is proved in the sixth section. In the second section some properties of functions associated with the sequences M and K are given. A simple example of the set $U(b, C)$ is given in [3].

Remark 1. The definition of the spaces $G_M(U)$ and $H_{b,M}(T_C)$ does not depend on a choice of the sequence $(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^\infty$. So we put $\varepsilon_m = H_2^{-m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\omega_m(r) = \omega_M(H_2^m r)$, $r \geq 0$.

§2. Auxiliary results

Lemma 1. *For $x > 0$ and $s > 1$*

$$x \leq \ln \frac{s}{s-1} + \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{(sx)^m}{m!}.$$

Proof. Let $x > 0, s > 1$. We have

$$e^x = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(sx)^m}{m!} \frac{1}{s^m} \leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(sx)^m}{m!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^m} = \frac{s}{s-1} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(sx)^m}{m!}.$$

From this the assertion follows.

Lemma 2. *Let $s > 1$. Then for $r \geq 0$*

$$w_M(sr) + r \leq w_M(sH_2r) + \ln \frac{a_1 H_1 s}{s-1}.$$

Proof. The lemma is true for $r = 0$. Now let $r > 0$. Then for $s > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} w_M(sr) + r &\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{(sr)^k}{M_k} + \ln \frac{s}{s-1} + \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{(sr)^m}{m!} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{(sr)^k}{M_k} + \ln \frac{s}{s-1} + \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{a_1 (sr)^m}{M_m} = \\ &= \sup_{k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{(sr)^{k+m}}{M_k M_m} + \ln \frac{a_1 s}{s-1} \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sup_{k,m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{H_1(sH_2r)^{k+m}}{M_{k+m}} + \ln \frac{a_1 s}{s-1} \leq w_M(sH_2r) + \ln \frac{a_1 H_1 s}{s-1}.$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

Applying lemma 2 with $s = H_2^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) we have for each $r \geq 0$

$$w_M(H_2^m r) + r \leq w_M(H_2^{m+1} r) + \ln \frac{a_1 H_1 H_2^m}{H_2^m - 1} \leq w_M(H_2^{m+1} r) + \ln \frac{a_1 H_1 H_2}{H_2 - 1}.$$

Setting $Q = \ln \frac{a_1 H_1 H_2}{H_2 - 1}$ and taking into consideration definitions of w_m we have for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$w_m(r) + r \leq w_{m+1}(r) + Q, \quad r \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

Define a function $\mathcal{N}$ on $[0, \infty)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}(r) = \min \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : w_M(r) = \ln \frac{r^k}{M_k} \right\}, \quad r > 0; \mathcal{N}(0) = 0.$$

It is easy to check that for $r \in (\frac{M_k}{M_{k-1}}, \frac{M_{k+1}}{M_k}]$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) $w_M(r) = \ln \frac{r^k}{M_k}$, $\mathcal{N}(r) = k$; $w(r) = 0, \mathcal{N}(r) = 0$ if $r \in (0, M_1]$. It is clear that ω_M is continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

From the condition i_4) on the sequence M it follows that there exists a constant $A_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$w_M(r) \leq A_\gamma r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad r \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Using the condition i_3) on M and lemma 1 we have for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$w_M(r) \geq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{r^k}{a_{\varepsilon^2} \varepsilon^{2k} k!} \geq \frac{r}{\varepsilon} - \ln \frac{a_{\varepsilon^2}}{1 - \varepsilon}, \quad r > 0.$$

Thus, $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{w_M(r)}{r} = +\infty$.

Now we shall estimate the growth of $\mathcal{N}(r)$. Using the representation

$$w_M(r) = \int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{N}(t)}{t} dt, \quad r \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

we obtain

$$w_M(er) \geq \int_r^{er} \frac{\mathcal{N}(t)}{t} dt \geq \mathcal{N}(r).$$

Now using (2) we have

$$\mathcal{N}(r) \leq A_\gamma (er)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad r \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 3. For each $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$

$$|w_M(r_2) - w_M(r_1)| \leq A_\gamma e^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} (r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} |r_2 - r_1|.$$

Proof. Let $r_2 \geq r_1 \geq 0$. Using (3) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_M(r_2) - w_M(r_1) &= \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}(t)}{t} dt \leq \gamma A_\gamma e^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} (r_2^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} - r_1^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}) \leq \\ &\leq A_\gamma e^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} (r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} (r_2 - r_1). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 is proved.

Lemma 4. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$w_K(r) + N \ln r \leq w_K(er) + \ln K_N, \quad r > 0.$$

Proof. Since M is a logarithmically convex sequence then for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ $M_{p+q} \geq M_p M_q$. So

$$K_{p+q} = \frac{(p+q)!}{M_{p+q}} \leq e^{p+q} \frac{p!q!}{M_p M_q} = e^{p+q} K_p K_q, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Let $r > 0$. Then for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} w_K(r) + N \ln r &\leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{r^m}{K_m} + \ln r^N = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{r^{m+N}}{K_m} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{(er)^{m+N} K_N}{K_{m+N}} \leq w_K(er) + \ln K_N. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4 is proved.

By lemma 4 for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A > 1$

$$w_K(r) + N \ln(1 + Ar) \leq w_K(er) + N \ln 2A + \ln K_N, \quad r \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 5. For each $r \geq 0$ $2w_K(r) \leq w_K(er)$.

Proof. For $r = 0$ it is obvious. For $r > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2w_K(r) &= 2 \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{r^m}{K_m} = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{r^{2m}}{K_m^2} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{(er)^{2m}}{K_{2m}} \leq w_K(er). \end{aligned}$$

§3. Isomorphism of spaces $G_M(U)$ and $E(U)$.

Theorem 1. *The spaces $G_M(U)$ and $E(U)$ are topologically isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $f \in G_M(U)$ be an arbitrary. Then $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \ \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|(D^\alpha f)(x)| \leq p_{m,\varepsilon}(f) \frac{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}{(1 + \|x\|)^m}, \quad x \in V. \quad (6)$$

From (6) it follows that for points $x, x_0 \in V$

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{(D^\alpha f)(x_0)}{\alpha!} (x - x_0)^\alpha$$

and the series standing to the right of this equality converges uniformly on the compact subsets of V to f .

Construct an isomorphism $T : G_M(U) \rightarrow E(U)$ as follows. Let $x_0 \in V$. In view of (6) and the condition i_3) on M

$$F_{x_0}(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{(D^\alpha f)(x_0)}{\alpha!} (z - x_0)^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

is an entire function. Note that for $x \in V$ $F_{x_0}(x) = f(x)$. So for $x_1, x_2 \in V$ $F_{x_1}(z) = F_{x_2}(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Thus, we defined the function $F \in H(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that for each $\xi \in V$ we have $F = F_\xi$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $F(x) = f(x)$, $x \in V$. Put $T(f) = F$. Obviously, the mapping T is one-to-one and linear.

Let $f \in G_M(U)$. Now we shall estimate the growth of $F = T(f)$. Let $z = x + iy$, $x \in V, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since

$$F(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{(D^\alpha f)(x)}{\alpha!} (iy)^\alpha,$$

then for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\leq p_{m,\varepsilon}(f) \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|} \|y\|^\alpha}{(1 + \|x\|)^m \alpha!} = \\ &= \frac{p_{m,\varepsilon}(f)}{(1 + \|x\|)^m} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^N M_N \|y\|^N \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} = \\ &= \frac{p_{m,\varepsilon}(f)}{(1 + \|x\|)^m} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^N M_N \|y\|^N \frac{n^N}{N!} \leq \frac{2t_1 p_{m,\varepsilon}(f)}{(1 + \|x\|)^m} \sup_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(2\varepsilon n t_2 \|y\|)^N}{K_N} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{2t_1 p_{m,\varepsilon}(f)}{(1 + \|x\|)^m} e^{w_K(2\varepsilon n t_2 \|y\|)}. \quad (7)$$

Now we estimate $|F(z)|$ at the points $z = x + iy$ such that $x \notin V, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let ξ be an arbitrary point of V . Then from the representation

$$F(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{(D^\alpha f)(\xi)}{\alpha!} (z - \xi)^\alpha$$

we have for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\leq p_{m,\varepsilon}(f) \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^m \alpha!} \|z - \xi\|^{|\alpha|} = \\ &= \frac{p_{m,\varepsilon}(f)}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^m} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^N M_N \|z - \xi\|^N n^N}{N!} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{t_1 p_{m,\varepsilon}(f)}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^m} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\varepsilon n t_2 \|z - \xi\|)^N}{K_N} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2t_1 p_{m,\varepsilon}(f)}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^m} e^{w_K(2\varepsilon n t_2 \|z - \xi\|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in this case for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|F(z)| \leq 2t_1 p_{m,\varepsilon}(f) \inf_{\xi \in V} \frac{e^{w_K(2\varepsilon n t_2 \|z - \xi\|)}}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^m}. \quad (8)$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s > 0$ let

$$g_{m,s}(z) = \inf_{\xi \in V} (w_K(s\|z - \xi\|) - m \ln(1 + \|\xi\|)),$$

where $z = x + iy$ and $x \notin V, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &w_K(s\|z - \xi\|) - m \ln(1 + \|\xi\|) \leq \\ &\leq w_K(2s\|x - \xi\|) - m \ln(1 + \|\xi\|) + w_K(2s\|y\|) \leq \\ &\leq w_K(2s\|x - \xi\|) + m \ln(1 + \|x - \xi\|) + w_K(2s\|y\|) - m \ln(1 + \|x\|), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$g_{m,s}(z) \leq w_K(2sd_U(x)) + m \ln(1 + d_U(x)) + w_K(2s\|y\|) - m \ln(1 + \|x\|).$$

Using inequality (5) and putting $d_{m,s} = m \ln(1 + \frac{1}{2s}) + \ln R_m$ we have for $z = x + iy$ with $x \notin V$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$g_{m,s}(z) \leq \omega_K(2esd_U(x)) + \omega_K(2s\|2\|) - m \ln(1 + \|x\|) + d_{m,s}. \quad (9)$$

Going back to (8) and using (9) with $s = 2\epsilon nt_2$ we obtain

$$|F(z)| \leq A_{m,\epsilon} p_{m,\epsilon}(f) e^{w_K(4\epsilon nt_2 d_U(x)) + w_K(4\epsilon nt_2 \|y\|) - m \ln(1 + \|x\|)}, \quad (10)$$

where $x \notin V$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A_{m,\epsilon} = 2t_1 e^{d_{m,s}}$. Using (7) we conclude that (10) holds everywhere in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Now we shall continue the estimate (10). For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$|F(z)| \leq A_{m,\epsilon} p_{m,\epsilon}(f) e^{w_K(4\epsilon nt_2 d_U(x)) + w_K(4\epsilon nt_2 \|y\|) - m \ln(1 + \|z\|) + m \ln(1 + \|y\|)}.$$

Again using inequality (5) and putting $B_{m,\epsilon} = K_m A_{m,\epsilon} (1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon nt_2})^m$ we have for each $\epsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|F(z)| \leq B_{m,\epsilon} p_{m,\epsilon}(f) e^{w_K(4\epsilon nt_2 d_U(x)) + w_K(4\epsilon nt_2 \|y\|) - m \ln(1 + \|z\|)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Thus, for each $\epsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$q_{m,4\epsilon nt_2}(T(f)) \leq B_{m,\epsilon} p_{m,\epsilon}(f).$$

This means that T is a continuous mapping from $G_M(U)$ to $E(U)$.

Now we prove that the inverse mapping T^{-1} is continuous. Let $F \in E(U)$. Show that $f = F|_U$ belongs to $G_M(U)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $R > 0$ are arbitrary. Let $x \in V$. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

$$(1 + \|x\|)^m (D^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{\alpha!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int \cdots \int_{L_R(x)} \frac{(1 + \|x\|)^m F(\zeta)}{(\zeta_1 - x_1)^{\alpha_1+1} \cdots (\zeta_n - x_n)^{\alpha_n+1}} d\zeta,$$

where $L_R(x) = \{\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\zeta_j - x_j| = R, j = 1, \dots, n\}$, $d\zeta = d\zeta_1 \cdots d\zeta_n$. From this

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \|x\|)^m |(D^\alpha f)(x)| &\leq \frac{\alpha!}{R^{|\alpha|}} \max_{\zeta \in L_R} (1 + \|\zeta - x\|)^m (1 + \|\zeta\|)^m |F(\zeta)| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha!}{R^{|\alpha|}} (1 + \sqrt{n}R)^m q_{m,\epsilon}(F) \max_{\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in L_R} e^{w_K(\epsilon d_U(\xi)) + w_K(\epsilon \|\eta\|)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha!}{R^{|\alpha|}} q_{m,\epsilon}(F) e^{2w_K(\epsilon \sqrt{n}R) + m \ln(1 + \sqrt{n}R)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 5 we have

$$(1 + \|x\|)^m |(D^\alpha f)(x)| \leq \frac{|\alpha|!}{R^{|\alpha|}} q_{m,\epsilon}(F) e^{w_K(\epsilon \sqrt{n}R) + m \ln(1 + \sqrt{n}R)}.$$

Now using inequality (5) we have for each $R > 0$

$$(1 + \|x\|)^m |(D^\alpha f)(x)| \leq \frac{|\alpha|!}{R^{|\alpha|}} K_m q_{m,\varepsilon}(F) e^{w_K(e^2\varepsilon\sqrt{n}R) + m \ln \frac{2}{e\varepsilon}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \|x\|)^m |(D^\alpha f)(x)| &\leq \frac{|\alpha|!}{K_{|\alpha|}} K_m (e^2\varepsilon\sqrt{n})^{|\alpha|} \left(1 + \frac{1}{e\varepsilon}\right)^m q_{m,\varepsilon}(F) \leq \\ &\leq t_1 (e^2 r_2 \varepsilon \sqrt{n})^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|} K_m \left(1 + \frac{1}{e\varepsilon}\right)^m q_{m,\varepsilon}(F). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$p_{m,e^2 t_2 \varepsilon \sqrt{n}}(f) \leq t_1 K_m \left(1 + \frac{1}{e\varepsilon}\right)^m q_{m,\varepsilon}(F).$$

Thus, the mapping T^{-1} is continuous.

Theorem 1 is proved.

§4. Description of $S^*(U)$ in terms of the Fourier-Laplace transform

Let $C^* = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle \xi, x \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C\}$ be a dual cone to C , pr C be an intersection of C with a unique sphere. For $r \geq 0$ let $B_r = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\xi\| \leq r\}$, $\tilde{B}_r$ be an exterior of B_r .

Lemma 6. For $y \in C, m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$g(\xi) = -\langle \xi, y \rangle + m \ln(1 + \|\xi\|), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then there exists a number $d > 0$ not depending on y such that

$$\sup_{\xi \in U} g(\xi) \leq b(y) + dm + 3m \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right) + 2m \ln(1 + \|y\|).$$

Proof. Since b is continuous and positively homogeneous on $\overline{C}$ then there exists a number $r > e$ such that $|b(y)| \leq r\|y\|$ for $y \in \overline{C}$. So $U \subset C^* + B_r$. There is a number $R_0 > 0$ such that for all $R > R_0$ the set $U_R = U \cap B_R$ is not empty. Let $\tilde{U}_R = U \setminus U_R$, $I_R = (C^* + B_r) \cap B_R$, $\tilde{I}_R = (C^* + B_r) \setminus I_R$.

Let $\xi_0 \in V$ be arbitrary. We will show that for each $y \in C$ there exists a number $R_1 > R_0$ such that $\sup_{\xi \in \tilde{I}_{R_1}} g(\xi) < g(\xi_0)$. Note that if $R > R_2 =$

$\max(R_0, 2r + \frac{m}{\Delta_C(y)})$ then

$$\sup_{\xi \in \tilde{I}_R} g(\xi) \leq \sup_{\xi_1 \in C^* \cap \tilde{B}_{R-r}} g(\xi_1) + \sup_{\xi_2 \in B_r} g(\xi_2) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{\sigma \in pr} \sup_{C^*} \sup_{t > R-r} (-t < \sigma, y > + m \ln(1+t)) + \sup_{\xi_2 \in B_r} g(\xi_2) = \\
&\leq \sup_{\sigma \in pr} \sup_{C^*} (-(R-r) < \sigma, y >) + m \ln(1+R-r) + r\|y\| + m \ln(1+r) = \\
&= -(R-r)\Delta_C(y) + m \ln(1+R-r) + r\|y\| + m \ln(1+r). \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

Show that for each $y \in C$ there exists a number $R_3 > 0$ such that for $R \geq R_3$ the following inequality holds

$$-(R-r)\Delta_C(y) + (2m + (r + \|\xi_0\|)\|y\|) \ln(1+R-r) < 0. \quad (12)$$

Then we will have

$$-(R-r)\Delta_C(y) + m \ln(1+R-r) + r\|y\| + m \ln(1+r) < g(\xi_0). \quad (13)$$

Note that the set of solutions of an inequality $x - \lambda \ln(1+x) > 0$ with a parameter $\lambda > 1$ contains the interval $[\lambda^2, \infty)$. Let $\lambda = \frac{2m+(r+\|\xi_0\|)\|y\|}{\Delta_C(y)}$. Since $r > 1$ then $\lambda > 1$. So the inequality (12) holds for all $R \geq R_3 = r + \left(\frac{2m+(r+\|\xi_0\|)\|y\|}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^2$. Let $R_1 = 3r + R_0 + \frac{m}{\Delta_C(y)} + \left(\frac{2m+(r+\|\xi_0\|)\|y\|}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^2$. Then from (11) and (13) it follows that $\sup_{\xi \in \tilde{U}_{R_1}} g(\xi) < g(\xi_0)$. This means that $\sup_{\xi \in U} g(\xi) =$

$\sup_{\xi \in U_{R_1}} g(\xi)$. So the point $\tilde{\xi}$ at which the upper bound of function g on U is attained belongs to U_{R_1} . Making elementary estimates we find a constant $d > 0$ depending on r, R_0, m and ξ_0 such that

$$\ln(1 + \|\tilde{\xi}\|) \leq d + 3 \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)} \right) + 2 \ln(1 + \|y\|).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\xi \in U} g(\xi) &= g(\tilde{\xi}) = - < \tilde{\xi}, y > + m \ln(1 + \|\tilde{\xi}\|) \leq \\
&\leq b(y) + dm + 3m \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)} \right) + 2m \ln(1 + \|y\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6 is proved.

Proof of theorem 2. Let $\Phi \in S^*(U)$ be an arbitrary functional. Then $\hat{\Phi}$ is a holomorphic function in T_C ([1], [2], [5]-[8]). So there exist numbers $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$|(\Phi, f)| \leq c \|f\|_{m,U}, \quad f \in S(U).$$

Putting $f(\xi) = e^{i<\xi, z>}$ (here $z = x + iy, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in C$) we have

$$|\hat{\Phi}(z)| \leq c \sup_{\xi \in V, |\alpha| \leq m} |(iz)^\alpha e^{i<\xi, z>}| (1 + \|\xi\|)^m \leq$$

$$\leq c(1 + \|z\|)^m e^{\sup_{\xi \in V} (-\langle \xi, y \rangle + m \ln(1 + \|\xi\|))}.$$

Using lemma 6 we obtain

$$|\hat{\Phi}(z)| \leq c(1 + \|z\|)^{3m} e^{b(y) + dm} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m}, \quad z \in T_C.$$

So the mapping $\mathcal{F}$ acts from $S^*(U)$ to $V_b(T_C)$.

It is known [5, p. 20] that $S^*(U)$ is an inductive limit of increasing sequence of Banach spaces $S_p^*(U)$. So if $\Phi \in S_m^*(U)$ then

$$|(\Phi, f)| \leq \|\Phi\|_{-m, U} \|f\|_{m, U}, \quad f \in S(U).$$

Here $\|\Phi\|_{-m, U}$ is a norm of Φ in $S_m^*(U)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now using lemma 6 we have

$$N_{3m}(\hat{\Phi}) \leq e^{dm} \|\Phi\|_{-m, U}.$$

This means that $\mathcal{F}$ is continuous.

Proving that $\mathcal{F}$ is bijective and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is continuous is the same as in [1]. Thus, $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\eta \in prC$. At the end of paper [8] it was shown by J.W. de Roeper that if $\Phi \in S^*(U)$ then there exist numbers $C_\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (not depending on $\varepsilon > 0$) such that

$$|\hat{\Phi}(z)| \leq C_\varepsilon (1 + \|z\|)^m e^{b(y)}, \quad z = x + iy, y \in \varepsilon\eta + C.$$

§5. Space $G_M(U)$ and its dual.

Using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem it is easy to show that $G_M(U)$ is the (M^*) -space (definition of (M^*) -spaces see in [9], [10]).

Let

$$C_m(U) = \{f \in C(U) : \tilde{p}_m(f) = \sup_{x \in U} |f(x)|(1 + \|x\|)^m < \infty\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By standard scheme ([4, Propositions 2.10, 2.11, Corollary 2.12]) one can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 7. Let $T \in G'_M(U)$ and numbers $c > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that

$$|(T, f)| \leq cp_m(f), \quad f \in G_M(U).$$

Then there exist functionals $T_\alpha \in C'_m(U)$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$) such that

$$|(T_\alpha, f)| \leq \frac{C\tilde{p}_m(f)}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}, \quad f \in C_m(U),$$

$$\text{and } (T, f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (T_\alpha, D^\alpha f), \quad f \in G_M(U).$$

Lemma 8. *Let $S \in G'_M(U)$. Then $\hat{S} \in H_M(T_C)$.*

Proof. First note that for each $z = x + iy \in T_C$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in C$) the function $f_z(\xi) = e^{i\langle \xi, z \rangle}$ belongs to $G_M(U)$. Indeed, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} p_m(f_z) &= \sup_{\xi \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{|(iz)^\alpha e^{i\langle \xi, z \rangle}| (1 + \|\xi\|)^m}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{\|z\|^{|\alpha|}}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{\xi \in V} e^{-\langle \xi, y \rangle + m \ln(1 + \|\xi\|)} = e^{\omega_m(\|z\|) + \sup_{\xi \in V} (-\langle \xi, y \rangle + m \ln(1 + \|\xi\|))}. \end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 6 and inequality (1) we can find a constant $A > 0$ depending only on m such that

$$p_m(f_z) \leq A e^{\omega_m + [r] + 1(\|z\|)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m}. \quad (14)$$

Here r is a number which was defined in the proof of lemma 6.

Now let $S \in G'_M(U)$. It is clear that the function $\hat{S}(z) = (S, e^{i\langle \xi, z \rangle})$ is correctly defined on T_C . Using lemmas 7 and 6, condition i_4) it is easy to show that $\hat{S} \in H(T_C)$.

Since there exist numbers $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$|(S, f)| \leq c p_m(f), \quad f \in G_M(U).$$

then using (14) we obtain

$$|\hat{S}(z)| \leq c A e^{\omega_m + [r] + 1(\|z\|)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m}.$$

Thus, $\hat{S} \in H_M(T_C)$.

Obviously for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ embeddings $j_m : H_{b,m}(T_C) \rightarrow H_{b,m+1}(T_C)$ are completely continuous. So $H_M(T_C)$ is (LN^*) -space (definition of (LN^*) -spaces see in [9], [10]).

In lemmas 9 and 10 the following notations will be used. A point $z = (z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots$) will be written in the form $z = (z', z_k)$, where $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$.

For $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ let $\varphi_k(z, \zeta) = \varphi(z_1 + \zeta_1, \dots, z_k + \zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n)$, for $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ let $\varphi_n(z, \zeta) = \varphi(z_1 + \zeta_1, \dots, z_n + \zeta_n)$.

If $f = \sum_{k=1}^m f_k d\bar{z}_k$ is a form of type $(0, 1)$ in $\mathbb{C}^m$ then put $\|f(z)\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^m |f_k(z)|^2$.

For $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ (Ω is an open set in $\mathbb{C}^m$) $\bar{\partial}u = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j$. For a definition of the $\bar{\partial}$ operator on forms see [11].

By λ_m denote the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^m$.

Lemma 9. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a domain of holomorphy in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\varphi \in psh(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and for some $c_\varphi > 0$ and $\nu > 0$

$$|\varphi(z) - \varphi(t)| \leq c_\varphi$$

if $\|z - t\| \leq \frac{1}{(1+\|t\|)^\nu}$. Let $h \in psh(\mathcal{O})$ and for some $c_h > 0$

$$|h(z) - h(t)| \leq c_h$$

if $\|z - t\| \leq \min\left(1, \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(t)}{4}\right)$.

Let $f \in H(\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times \mathcal{O})$ (here $k = 2, \dots, n$) and for some $c_f > 0, m \geq 0$

$$|f(z', \zeta)| \leq c_f(1 + \|(z', \zeta)\|)^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^m e^{\varphi_{k-1}(z', \zeta) + h(\zeta)}.$$

Then there exists a holomorphic function F in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$ such that $F(z', 0, \zeta) = f(z', \zeta)$ for $z' \in \mathbb{C}^{k-1}, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ and for some $C > 0, m_k \geq 0$

$$|F(z, \zeta)| \leq C(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{m_k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{m_k} e^{\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^k, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Proof. Let the function $\mu \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$ be such that for $t \geq 0$ $0 \leq \mu(t) \leq 1$ and $|\mu'(t)| \leq 4$, $\mu(t) = 1$ for $t \in [0, \frac{1}{3}]$, $\mu(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 1$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ let

$$H_k(z, \zeta) = \mu((1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu |z_k|).$$

Note that $H_k(z, \zeta) = 0$ out of the set

$$\Omega_k = \{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{k+n} : (1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu |z_k| < 1\}.$$

For $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(z, \zeta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \nu |z_k| \mu'((1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu |z_k|) (1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^{\nu-1} \frac{\zeta_j}{\|(z', \zeta)\|}.$$

For every $j = 1, \dots, k-1$

$$\frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(z, \zeta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \nu |z_k| \mu'((1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu |z_k|) (1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^{\nu-1} \frac{z_j}{\|(z', \zeta)\|}.$$

Further

$$\frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \bar{z}_k}(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \mu'((1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu |z_k|) (1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu \frac{z_k}{|z_k|}.$$

Let

$$W_k = \{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+k} : \frac{1}{3} < (1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu |z_k| < 1\}.$$

Obviously, $\bar{\partial}H_k(z, \zeta) = 0$ out of W_k . If $(z, \zeta) \in W_k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\partial}H_k(z, \zeta)\|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \left| \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(z, \zeta) \right|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(z, \zeta) \right|^2 = \\ &= \frac{(\mu'(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|^\nu |z_k|)(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu)^2}{4} \left(\frac{2\nu^2 |z_k|^2}{(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^2} + 1 \right) \leq \\ &\leq 4(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2\nu} \left(\frac{2\nu^2}{(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2+2\nu}} + 1 \right) \leq \\ &\leq 4(2\nu^2 + 1)(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, everywhere in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$

$$\|\bar{\partial}H_k(z, \zeta)\|^2 \leq 4(2\nu^2 + 1)(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2\nu}.$$

Choose a function $v_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O})$ with suitable bound so that the function

$$F(z, \zeta) = f(z', \zeta)H_k(z, \zeta) - z_k v_k(z, \zeta), \quad (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O},$$

(for which $F(z', 0, \zeta) = f(z', \zeta)$ if $(z', \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times \mathcal{O}$) is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$. So $v_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O})$ must satisfy the equation

$$\bar{\partial}v_k(z, \zeta) = \frac{f(z', \zeta)\bar{\partial}H_k(z, \zeta)}{z_k}, \quad (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O} \quad (15)$$

Denote by $g_k(z, \zeta)$ the form of a type $(0, 1)$ standing to the right of (15). Note that $g_k(z, \zeta)$ is a zero form out of W_k . Directly can be checked that $\bar{\partial}g_k(z, \zeta) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$. If $(z, \zeta) \in W_k$, then

$$\|g_k(z, \zeta)\|^2 = \frac{|f(z', \zeta)|^2 \|\bar{\partial}H_k(z, \zeta)\|^2}{|z_k|^2} \leq$$

$$\leq 36(2\nu^2 + 1)c_f^2 4^\nu (1 + \|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2m_{k-1}+4\nu} e^{2(\varphi_{k-1}(z', \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)})}.$$

Putting $A_1 = 36(2\nu^2 + 1)c_f^2 4^\nu$ we have in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$

$$\|g_k(z, \zeta)\|^2 \leq A_1 (1 + \|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2m_{k-1}+4\nu} e^{2(\varphi_{k-1}(z', \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)})}.$$

Note that for $(z, \zeta) \in \Omega_k$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (z_1 + \zeta_1, \dots, z_k + \zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) - (z_1 + \zeta_1, \dots, z_{k-1} + \zeta_{k-1}, \zeta_k, \dots, \zeta_n) \| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \sqrt{2} \|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu} \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \|(z_1 + \zeta_1, \dots, z_{k-1} + \zeta_{k-1}, \zeta_k, \dots, \zeta_n)\|)^\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $(z, \zeta) \in \Omega_k$ $|\varphi_k(z, \zeta) - \varphi_{k-1}(z', \zeta)| \leq c_\varphi$. Now we can obtain an integral estimate on $\|g_k(z, \zeta)\|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{\|g_k(z, \zeta)\|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)-1}} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) = \\ & = \int_{W_k} \frac{\|g_k(z, \zeta)\|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)-1}} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) \leq \\ & \leq A_1 \int_{W_k} \frac{(1 + \|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2\nu} e^{2(\varphi_{k-1}(z', \zeta) - \varphi_k(z, \zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+k)-1}} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) \leq \\ & \leq A_1 e^{2c_\varphi} \int_{W_k} \frac{(1 + \|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2\nu}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+k)-1}} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) \leq \\ & \leq A_1 e^{2c_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n+k-1}} \int_{|z_k| < \frac{1}{(1 + \sqrt{2} \|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu}} \frac{(1 + \|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2\nu}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+k)-1}} d\lambda_1(z_k) d\lambda_{n+k-1}(z', \zeta) = \\ & = \pi A_1 e^{2c_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n+k-1}} \frac{d\lambda_{n+k-1}(z', \zeta)}{(1 + \|(z', \zeta)\|)^{2(n+k-1)+1}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2.1' in [12] provides a solution $v_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O})$ of the equation (15) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|v_k(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)-1} (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|^2)^2} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) \leq \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|v_k(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)-1}} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|F(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)-1} (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|^2)^3} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) \leq \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|f(z', \zeta)|^2 |H_k(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)-1} (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|^2)^3} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2 \int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|z_k|^2 |v_k(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)-1} (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|^2)^3} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) \leq \\
& \leq 2c_f^2 \int_{\Omega_k} \frac{e^{2(\varphi_{k-1}(z', \zeta) - \varphi_k(z, \zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+k+\nu)-1} (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|^2)^3} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) + \\
& +2 \int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|v_k(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)-1} (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|^2)^2} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) \leq \\
& \leq 2c_f^2 e^{2c_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n+k}} \frac{d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta)}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|^2)^{n+k+\nu+2}} + \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{\|g_k(z, \zeta)\|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}))}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)-1}} d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) = A_2 < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Now from integral estimate we obtain uniform estimate on F by standard arguments (see, for example, [13, p. 205]). Let $R = \min(\frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}{4}, \frac{1}{2(1+\sqrt{2}\|(z, \zeta)\|^\nu)})$. We have in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(z, \zeta)|^2 & \leq \frac{1}{\nu_{n+k}(R)} \int_{B_R(z, \zeta)} |F(t, w)|^2 d\lambda_{n+k}(t, w) \leq \\
& \leq A_2 \sup_{(t, w) \in B_R(z, \zeta)} (e^{2(\varphi_k(t, w) + h(w) + m_{k-1} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(w)}))} (1 + \|(t, w)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)+5})
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since $\|w - \zeta\| \leq \min\left(1, \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}{4}\right)$ then $|h(w) - h(\zeta)| \leq c_h$. Note also that for $(t, w) \in B_R(z, \zeta)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(t_1 + w_1, \dots, t_k + w_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n) - (z_1 + \zeta_1, \dots, z_k + \zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n)\| = \\
& = \|(t_1, \dots, t_k, 0, \dots, 0) + w - (z_1, \dots, z_k, 0, \dots, 0) - \zeta\| \leq \|t - z\| + \|w - \zeta\| \leq \\
& \leq 2R \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z, \zeta)\|)^\nu} \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \|(z_1 + \zeta_1, \dots, z_k + \zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n)\|)^\nu}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for $(t, w) \in B_R(z, \zeta)$ $|\varphi_k(t, w) - \varphi_k(z, \zeta)| \leq c_\varphi$. From this and (16) we have for $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(z, \zeta)|^2 & \leq \frac{A_2}{\nu_{n+k}(1)} (2 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{2(n+m_{k-1}+k+\nu)+5} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{2m_{k-1}} \\
& \cdot \left(\frac{4}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)} + 2(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z, \zeta)\|)^\nu\right)^{2(n+k)} e^{2(c_\varphi + c_h)} e^{\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$

$$|F(z, \zeta)| \leq C(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{m_k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{d_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{m_k} e^{\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)},$$

where $m_k = (n + k)(\nu + 1) + m_{k-1} + 3$.

Lemma is proved.

Lemma 10. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a domain of holomorphy in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\varphi \in psh(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be such that for some $c_\varphi > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ $|\varphi(z) - \varphi(t)| \leq c_\varphi$ if $\|z - t\| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \|t\|)^\nu}$, $h \in psh(\mathcal{O})$ be such that for some $c_h > 0$ $|h(z) - h(t)| \leq c_h$ if $z, t \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\|z - t\| \leq \min\left(1, \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(t)}{4}\right)$.*

Let $f \in H(\mathcal{O})$ be such that for some $c_f > 0$

$$|f(\zeta)| \leq c_f e^{\varphi(\zeta) + h(\zeta)}, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Then there exists a function $F \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O})$ such that for $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ $F(\zeta, \zeta) = f(\zeta)$ and for some $C > 0$ and $N \geq 0$

$$|F(z, \zeta)| \leq C(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^N e^{\varphi(z) + h(\zeta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Proof. Let $\mu \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$ be such that for $t \geq 0$ $0 \leq \mu(t) \leq 1$ and $|\mu'(t)| \leq 4$, $\mu(t) = 1$ for $t \in [0, \frac{1}{3}]$, $\mu(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 1$. For $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$ let $H_1(z_1, \zeta) = \mu((1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu |z_1|)$. Note that $H_1(z_1, \zeta) = 0$ out of

$$\Omega_1 = \{(z_1, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : (1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu |z_1| < 1\}.$$

Let

$$W_1 = \{(z_1, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \frac{1}{3} < (1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu |z_1| < 1\}.$$

For $(z_1, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$

$$\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(z_1, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \mu'((1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu |z_1|) \nu |z_1| (1 + \|\zeta\|)^{\nu-1} \frac{\zeta_j}{\|\zeta\|}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1}(z_1, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \mu'((1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu |z_1|) (1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu \frac{z_1}{|z_1|}.$$

Obviously, $\bar{\partial} H_1(z_1, \zeta) = 0$ out of W_1 . For $(z_1, \zeta) \in W_1$

$$\|\bar{\partial} H_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial H_1(z_1, \zeta)}{\partial \zeta_j} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial H_1(z_1, \zeta)}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \right|^2 =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{4}(\mu'((1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu |z_1|)(1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu)^2 \left(\frac{\nu^2 |z_1|^2}{(1 + \|\zeta\|)^2} + 1 \right) \leq \\
&\leq 4(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{2\nu} \left(\frac{\nu^2}{(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{2+2\nu}} + 1 \right) \leq 4(\nu^2 + 1)(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{2\nu}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$

$$\|\bar{\partial}H_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 \leq 4(\nu^2 + 1)(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{2\nu}.$$

Choose a function $v_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O})$ with suitable bound so that $F_1(z_1, \zeta) = f(\zeta)H_1(z_1, \zeta) - z_1 v_1(z_1, \zeta)$ is a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$. Obviously, $F_1(0, \zeta) = f(\zeta), \zeta \in \mathcal{O}$. The function $v_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O})$ will be found as a solution of the equation

$$\bar{\partial}v_1(z_1, \zeta) = \frac{f(\zeta)\bar{\partial}H_1(z_1, \zeta)}{z_1}, \quad (z_1, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}. \quad (17)$$

Denote by $g_1(z_1, \zeta)$ the form to the right of (17). It is easy to check that $\bar{\partial}g_1(z_1, \zeta) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$. It is clear that $g_1(z_1, \zeta)$ is a zero form of a type $(0, 1)$ out of W_1 . If $(z_1, \zeta) \in W_1$ then

$$\|g_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 = \frac{|f(\zeta)|^2}{|z_1|^2} \|\bar{\partial}H_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 \leq c_f^2 e^{2(\varphi(\zeta) + h(\zeta))} 36(\nu^2 + 1)(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{4\nu}.$$

Putting $B_1 = 36(\nu^2 + 1)c_f^2$ we have in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$

$$\|g_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 \leq B_1 e^{2(\varphi(\zeta) + h(\zeta))} (1 + \|\zeta\|)^{4\nu}.$$

Recall that for $(z_1, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ $\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) = \varphi(\zeta_1 + z_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)$ and $|\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) - \varphi(\zeta)| \leq c_\varphi$ if $(z_1, \zeta) \in \Omega_1$.

Further

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{\|g_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+1+2\nu}} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) = \\
&= \int_{W_1} \frac{\|g_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+1+2\nu}} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) \leq \\
&\leq B_1 \int_{W_1} \frac{(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{2\nu} e^{2(\varphi(\zeta) - \varphi_1(z_1, \zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+1}} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) \leq \\
&\leq B_1 e^{2c_\varphi} \int_{W_1} \frac{(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{2\nu}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+1}} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) \leq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq B_1 e^{2c_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{2\nu}}{(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{2n+1}} \left(\int_{|z_1| < \frac{1}{(1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu}} d\lambda_1(z_1) \right) d\lambda_n(\zeta) = \\
&= \pi B_1 e^{2C_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{d\lambda_n(\zeta)}{(1 + \|\zeta\|)^{2n+1}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2.1' in [12] let us to find a solution $v_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O})$ of the equation (17) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \int_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|v_1(z_1, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+2\nu+1} (1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|^2)^2} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) \leq \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{\|g_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+2\nu+1}} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

From this

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{\|F_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+2\nu+1} (1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|^2)^3} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) \leq \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|^2 |H_1(z_1, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+2\nu+1} (1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|^2)^3} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) + \\
&+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|z_1|^2 |v_1(z_1, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+2\nu+1} (1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|^2)^3} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) \leq \\
&\leq 2c_f^2 \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{e^{2(\varphi(\zeta) - \varphi_1(z_1, \zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+2\nu+1} (1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|^2)^3} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) + \\
&+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{|v_1(z_1, \zeta)|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+2\nu+1} (1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|^2)^2} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) \leq \\
&\leq 2c_f^2 e^{2c_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} \frac{d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta)}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+1}} + \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}} \frac{\|g_1(z_1, \zeta)\|^2 e^{-2(\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta))}}{(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+2\nu+1} (1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|^2)^3} d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) = B_2 < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we pass to uniform estimates on F_1 . Let $(z_1, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$ and $R = \min\left(\frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}{4}, \frac{1}{2(1+\sqrt{2}\|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^\nu}\right)$. Since $|F_1(z_1, \zeta)|^2$ is a plurisubharmonic function in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$ then

$$|F_1(z_1, \zeta)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu_{n+1}(R)} \int_{B_R(z_1, \zeta)} |F_1(t_1, w)|^2 d\lambda_{n+1}(t_1, w).$$

From this and previous integral estimate on F_1 we obtain

$$|F_1(z_1, \zeta)|^2 \leq \frac{B_2}{\nu_{n+1}(1)} \left(\frac{4}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)} + 2(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z_1, \zeta)\|^\nu) \right)^{2(n+1)} \cdot \sup_{(t_1, w) \in B_R((z_1, \zeta), R)} 2(\varphi_1(t_1, w) + h(w)) \cdot (2 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{2n+2\nu+7} e^{(t_1, w) \in B_R((z_1, \zeta), R)}.$$

Since here $\|w - \zeta\| \leq \min(1, \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}{4})$ then $|h(w) - h(\zeta)| \leq c_h$. Also we have for $(t_1, w) \in B_R(z_1, \zeta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(t_1 + w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) - (z_1 + \zeta_1, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)\| &\leq |t_1 - z_1| + \|w - \zeta\| \leq \\ &\leq 2R \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \sqrt{2}\|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^\nu} \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \|(z_1 + \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)\|)^\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $(t_1, w) \in B_R(z_1, \zeta)$

$$|\varphi_1(t_1, w) - \varphi_1(z_1, \zeta)| = |\varphi(t_1 + w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) - \varphi(z_1 + \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)| \leq c_\varphi.$$

Using this inequalities we find a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for $(z_1, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$

$$|F_1(z_1, \zeta)|^2 \leq C_1(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{n+(n+2)\nu+4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{n+1} e^{\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}.$$

Successively applying lemma 9 ($n - 1$) times we will construct a function $F_n \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O})$ such that $F_n(0, \dots, 0, \zeta) = f(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ and for some $c_n > 0$ and $N \geq 0$

$$|F_n(z, \zeta)| \leq C_n(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^N e^{\varphi_n(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}, \quad (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O}.$$

For $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O}$ put $F(z, \zeta) = F_n(z - \zeta, \zeta)$. Then $F \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O})$, $F(\zeta, \zeta) = f(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ and

$$|F(z, \zeta)| \leq C(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^N e^{\varphi(z) + h(\zeta)}, \quad (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O},$$

where $C = (1 + \sqrt{2})^N C_n$.

Lemma 10 is proved.

Remark 3. The definition of functions H_k in lemmas 9, 10 comes from [14].

Lemma 11. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a domain of holomorphy in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\varphi \in \text{psh}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be such that for some $c_\varphi > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ $|\varphi(z) - \varphi(t)| \leq c_\varphi$ if $\|z - t\| \leq \frac{1}{(1+\|t\|)^\nu}$, $h \in \text{psh}(\mathcal{O})$ be such that for some $c_h > 0$ $|h(z) - h(t)| \leq c_h$ if for $z, t \in \mathcal{O}$ $\|z - t\| \leq \min\left(1, \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(t)}{4}\right)$.

Let a function $S \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O})$ satisfies to inequality

$$|S(z, \zeta)| \leq e^{\varphi(z)+h(\zeta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in \mathcal{O},$$

and $S(\xi, \xi) = 0$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$.

Then there exist functions $S_1, \dots, S_n \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O})$, numbers $C > 0$ and $N \geq 0$ such that for $(z, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O}$:

$$a) \quad S(z, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j(z, \xi)(z_j - \xi_j);$$

b) for each $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$|S_j(z, \xi)| \leq C(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^N e^{\varphi(z)+h(\zeta)}.$$

Proof. Let $L(z, \xi) = S(z + \zeta, \zeta)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}$. Then

$$|L(z, \zeta)| \leq e^{\varphi_n(z, \zeta)+h(\zeta)}, \quad (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{O}, \quad (18)$$

and $L(0, \zeta) = S(\zeta, \zeta) = 0$ for $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$.

For $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}, z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ let $L_1(z_1, \zeta) = L(z_1, 0, \dots, 0, \zeta)$, $L_2(z_1, z_2, \zeta) = L(z_1, z_2, 0, \dots, 0, \zeta)$, $\dots$, $L_n(z, \zeta) = L(z, \zeta)$. In view of (18) for $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$|L_k(z, \zeta)| \leq e^{\varphi_k(z, \zeta)+h(\zeta)}, \quad (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}. \quad (19)$$

Since $L_1(0, \zeta) = 0 \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ then

$$\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta) = \frac{L_1(z_1, \zeta)}{z_1}$$

is a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$. Let us estimate its growth. For $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$, $|z_1| \geq \frac{1}{(1+\|\zeta\|)^\nu}$

$$|\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta)| \leq (1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu e^{\varphi_1(z, \zeta)+h(\zeta)}.$$

For $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$, $|z_1| < \frac{1}{(1+\|\zeta\|)^\nu}$

$$|\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta)| \leq \max_{|t_1|=\frac{1}{(1+\|\zeta\|)^\nu}} \left| \frac{L_1(t_1, \zeta)}{t_1} \right| \leq e^{2c_\varphi} (1 + \|\zeta\|)^\nu e^{\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta)+h(\zeta)}.$$

Thus, putting $A_1 = e^{2c_\varphi}$, $m_1 = \nu$ for $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$

$$|\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta)| \leq A_1(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|)^{m_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{m_1} e^{\varphi_1(z_1, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}.$$

Note also that in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{O}$ $L_1(z_1, \zeta) = \psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta)z_1$.

Let for $k = 2, \dots, n$ there are functions $\psi_j^{(k-1)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times \mathcal{O})$ ($j = 1, \dots, k-1$) such that

$$L_{k-1}(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \psi_j^{(k-1)}(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \zeta) z_j$$

and numbers $A_{k-1} > 0$ and $m_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $z' \in \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$, $j = 1, \dots, k-1$

$$|\psi_j^{(k-1)}(z', \zeta)| \leq A_{k-1}(1 + \|(z', \zeta)\|)^{m_{k-1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{m_{k-1}} e^{\varphi_{k-1}(z', \zeta) + h(\zeta)}.$$

According to lemma 9 for each $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ there exists a function $\psi_j^{(k)}$ holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$ such that

$$\psi_j^{(k)}(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, 0, \zeta) = \psi_j^{(k-1)}(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \zeta), \quad z_1, \dots, z_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in \mathcal{O},$$

and numbers $B_k > 0$ and $\tilde{m}_k \geq 0$ such that for $z = (z', z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ and for all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$

$$|\psi_j^{(k)}(z, \zeta)| \leq B_k(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{\tilde{m}_k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{\tilde{m}_k} e^{\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}. \quad (20)$$

Put

$$Y_k(z, \zeta) = L_k(z, \zeta) - \psi_1^{(k)}(z, \zeta)z_1 - \dots - \psi_{k-1}^{(k)}(z, \zeta)z_{k-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^k, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Using (19) and (20) we find a constant $C_k > 0$ such that

$$|Y_k(z, \zeta)| \leq C_k(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{\tilde{m}_k+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{\tilde{m}_k} e^{\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}.$$

Also note that for $z_1, \dots, z_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ $Y_k(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, 0, \zeta) = 0$. Hence

$$\psi_k^{(k)}(z, \zeta) = \frac{Y_k(z, \zeta)}{z_k}$$

is a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathcal{O}$.

Let us estimate a growth of $\psi_k^{(k)}$. Put $R_k = \frac{1}{2(1+\sqrt{2}\|(z', \zeta)\|)^\nu}$. For $z' \in \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$, $|z_k| \geq R_k$

$$|\psi_k^{(k)}(z, \zeta)| < 2^{\nu+1} C_k (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{\tilde{m}_k + \nu + 1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{\tilde{m}_k} e^{\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}.$$

For $z' \in \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$, $|z_k| < R_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_k^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)| &\leq \max_{|t_k|=R_k} \left| \frac{Y_k(z', t_k, \zeta)}{t_k} \right| \leq \\ &\leq 2^{\nu + \tilde{m}_k + 2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{\tilde{m}_k} e^{2c_\varphi} (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{\tilde{m}_k + \nu + 1} e^{\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $m_k = \tilde{m}_k + \nu + 1$. From this and (20) it follows that there exists a constant $A_k > 0$ such that for all $j = 1, \dots, k$

$$|\psi_j^{(k)}(z, \zeta)| \leq A_k (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{m_k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{m_k} e^{\varphi_k(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}.$$

Note that $L_k(z, \zeta) = \psi_1^{(1)}(z, \zeta)z_1 + \dots + \psi_k^{(k)}(z, \zeta)z_k$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^k, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}$. So if $k = n$ then

$$L_n(z, \zeta) = L(z, \zeta) = \psi_1^{(n)}(z, \zeta)z_1 + \dots + \psi_n^{(n)}(z, \zeta)z_n, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Besides that for each $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$|\psi_j^{(n)}(z, \zeta)| \leq A_n (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^{m_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^{m_n} e^{\varphi_n(z, \zeta) + h(\zeta)}. \quad (21)$$

Thus, we have

$$S(z, \zeta) = \psi_1^{(n)}(z - \zeta, \zeta)(z_1 - \zeta_1) + \dots + \psi_n^{(n)}(z - \zeta, \zeta)(z_n - \zeta_n), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ let $S_j(z, \zeta) = \psi_j^{(n)}(z - \zeta, \zeta)$. Then

$$S(z, \zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j(z, \zeta)(z_j - \zeta_j), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Putting $N = m_n$, $C = A_n 2^{m_n}$ from (21) we have for each $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$|S_j(z, \zeta)| \leq C (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}(\zeta)}\right)^N e^{\varphi(z) + h(\zeta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Lemma 11 is proved.

Remark 4. The idea of proving lemma 11 comes from [4].

Proof of Theorem 3. By lemma 8 the linear mapping $L : S \in G_M^*(U) \rightarrow \hat{S}$ acts from $G_M^*(U)$ into $H_{b,M}(T_C)$.

Before we show that L is continuous note that the topology of $G_M^*(U)$ can be described as follows. Let $W_k = \{f \in G_M(U) : p_k(f) \leq 1\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $W_k^0 = \{F \in G'_M(U) : |(F, f)| \leq 1, \forall f \in W_k\}$ be a polar of W_k in $G'_M(U)$. Let $E_k = \bigcup_{\alpha > 0} (\alpha W_k^0)$ be a vector subspace in $G'_M(U)$ generated by polar W_k^0 , $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Define a topology in E_k with the help of the norm

$$q_k(F) = \sup_{f \in W_k} |(F, f)|, \quad F \in E_k.$$

Note that $G'_M(U) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$. Define in $G'_M(U)$ the topology λ of an inductive limit of spaces E_k . Since $G_M(U)$ is a reflexive space then the strong topology in $G'_M(U)$ coincides with the topology λ [15, chapter 8].

Now let $S \in E_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$|(S, f)| \leq q_m(S), \quad f \in W_m.$$

From this it follows that

$$|(S, f)| \leq q_m(S)p_m(f), \quad f \in G_M(U).$$

Putting here $f(\xi) = \exp(i \langle \xi, z \rangle)$ with z in T_C and using (14) we obtain

$$|\hat{S}(z)| \leq q_m(S) A e^{\omega_{m+[r]+1}(\|z\|)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m}, \quad (22)$$

where a constant $A > 0$ does not depend on $z \in T_C$. Let $N(m) = \max(m + [r] + 1, 3m)$. Then from (22) it follows that

$$\|\hat{S}\|_{N(m)} \leq A q_m(S), \quad S \in E_m \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Thus, L is continuous.

While proving that L is bijective we follow a scheme from [4].

First show that L is surjective. Let $F \in H_M(T_C)$. This means that F is holomorphic in $H(T_C)$ and for some $c > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|F(z)| \leq c e^{\omega_m(\|z\|)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^m.$$

Since for some $r > e$ $|b(y)| \leq r\|y\|$ ($y \in \overline{C}$) then

$$|F(z)| \leq ce^{b(y)+\omega_m(\|z\|)+r\|z\|} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^m, \quad z \in T_C.$$

Using (1) and putting $c_1 = ce^{([r]+1)Q}$, $k = m + [r] + 1$ we have

$$|F(z)| \leq c_1 e^{\omega_k(\|z\|)} e^{b(Imz)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(z)}\right)^m, \quad z \in T_C.$$

Note that functions $h(\zeta) = b(Im\zeta) + m \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(\zeta)})$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in T_C$) and $\varphi(z) = \omega_k(\|z\|)$ satisfy to conditions of lemma 10. Then there exists a function $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C)$ such that $\Phi(\zeta, \zeta) = F(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in T_C$ and numbers $c_2 > 0$ and $N \geq 0$ such that for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in T_C$

$$|\Phi(z, \zeta)| \leq c_2(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(\zeta)}\right)^N e^{b(Im\zeta)} e^{\omega_k(\|z\|)}. \quad (23)$$

Since $\Phi(z, \zeta)$ is an entire function of z then it can be expanded in a power series:

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} C_\alpha(\zeta) z^\alpha, \quad \zeta \in T_C, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

By the Cauchy formula

$$C_\alpha(\zeta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|z_1|=R} \cdots \int_{|z_n|=R} \frac{\Phi(z, \zeta)}{z_1^{\alpha_1+1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n+1}} dz_1 \cdots dz_n,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $R > 0$ is arbitrary. From this it follows that $C_\alpha \in H(T_C)$. Using (23) we have for $\zeta \in T_C$

$$|C_\alpha(\zeta)| \leq \frac{c_2(1 + \sqrt{n}R)^N (1 + \|\zeta\|)^N e^{b(Im\zeta)+\omega_k(\sqrt{n}R)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(\zeta)}\right)^N}{R^{|\alpha|}}.$$

Using lemma 1 one can find a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that for each $R > 0$

$$|C_\alpha(\zeta)| \leq c_3 \frac{e^{\omega_{k+1}(\sqrt{n}R)}}{R^{|\alpha|}} (1 + \|\zeta\|)^N e^{b(Im\zeta)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(\zeta)}\right)^N, \quad \zeta \in T_C.$$

Hence for $\zeta \in T_C$

$$|C_\alpha(\zeta)| \leq c_3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \left(\inf_{R>0} \frac{e^{\omega_M(r)}}{r^{|\alpha|}}\right) e^{b(Im\zeta)} (1 + \|\zeta\|)^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(\zeta)}\right)^N.$$

Since [16]

$$\inf_{R>0} \frac{e^{\omega_M(r)}}{r^k} = \frac{1}{M_k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $\zeta \in T_C$ we have

$$|C_\alpha(\zeta)| \leq c_3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{e^{b(Im\zeta)}}{M_{|\alpha|}} (1 + \|\zeta\|)^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(\zeta)} \right)^N. \quad (24)$$

Thus, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ $C_\alpha \in V(T_C)$. By theorem 2 there exist functionals $S_\alpha \in S^*(U)$ such that $\hat{S}_\alpha = C_\alpha$.

From (24) and properties of (LN^*) -spaces it follows that $\{M_{|\alpha|} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{|\alpha|} C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ is a bounded set in $V(T_C)$. In view of the topological isomorphism of spaces $S^*(U)$ and $V(T_C)$ the set $\mathcal{A} = \{M_{|\alpha|} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ is bounded in $S^*(U)$. Hence it is weakly bounded. By Schwartz theorem [1] there exist numbers $c_4 > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|(F, f)| \leq c_4 \|f\|_{p,U}, \quad F \in \mathcal{A}, \quad f \in S(U).$$

Thus, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $f \in S(U)$

$$|(S_\alpha, f)| \leq c_4 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{\|f\|_{p,U}}{M_{|\alpha|}}. \quad (25)$$

Define a functional T on $G_M(U)$ by the rule:

$$(T, f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (S_\alpha, (-i)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha f), \quad f \in G_M(U). \quad (26)$$

It is correctly defined. Indeed, using (25) we have for each $f \in G_M(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} |(S_\alpha, D^\alpha f)| &\leq c_4 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{x \in U, |\beta| \leq p} |(D^{\alpha+\beta} f)(x)| (1 + \|x\|)^p \leq \\ &\leq c_4 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{x \in U, |\beta| \leq p} \frac{p_s(f) \varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} M_{|\alpha|+|\beta|} (1 + \|x\|)^p}{(1 + \|x\|)^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition $i_2)$ for $s \geq p$ we have

$$|(S_\alpha, D^\alpha f)| \leq c_4 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \right)^{|\alpha|} p_s(f) \sup_{|\beta| \leq p} \varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} H_1 H_2^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} M_{|\beta|} \leq$$

$$\leq c_4 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \right)^{|\alpha|} H_1 M_p H_2^{|\alpha|} p_s(f) \varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|} = c_4 H_1 M_p \left(\frac{\sqrt{n} \varepsilon_s H_2}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \right)^{|\alpha|} p_s(f).$$

Now choose s so that $\tau_s = \frac{\sqrt{n} \varepsilon_s H_2}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} < 1$. Then for each $f \in G_M(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

$$|(S_\alpha, D^\alpha f)| \leq c_5 \tau_s^{|\alpha|} p_s(f),$$

where $c_5 = c_4 H_1 M_p$. From this it follows that the series to the right of (26) converges and that

$$|(T, f)| \leq \frac{c_4}{(1 - \tau_s)^n} p_s(f), \quad f \in G_M(U).$$

Hence the linear functional T is correctly defined and continuous. Besides that $\hat{T} = F$. Indeed, for each $z \in T_C$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}(z) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (S_\alpha, (-i)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (e^{i\langle \zeta, z \rangle})) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (S_\alpha, (-i)^{|\alpha|} (iz)^\alpha (e^{i\langle \zeta, z \rangle})) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} z^\alpha (S_\alpha, (e^{i\langle \zeta, z \rangle})) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} C_\alpha(z) z^\alpha = \Phi(z, z) = F(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, L is surjective.

The mapping L is injective. Indeed, let for $T \in G'_M(U)$ $\hat{T} \equiv 0$. We will show that T is a zero functional. Since T is a linear continuous functional there exist numbers $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_T > 0$ such that

$$|(T, f)| \leq c_T p_m(f), \quad f \in G_M(U).$$

By lemma 7 there exist functionals $T_\alpha \in C'_m(U)$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$) such that

$$(T, f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} (T_\alpha, D^\alpha f), \quad f \in G_M(U),$$

and

$$|(T_\alpha, g)| \leq \frac{c_T}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \tilde{p}_m(g), \quad g \in C_m(U). \quad (27)$$

From this we have for each $z \in T_C$

$$\hat{T}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} (T_\alpha, (iz)^\alpha e^{i\langle \zeta, z \rangle}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} i^{|\alpha|} (T_\alpha, e^{i\langle \zeta, z \rangle}) z^\alpha.$$

Let $V_\alpha(z) = i^{|\alpha|} (T_\alpha, e^{i\langle \zeta, z \rangle})$. Obviously $V_\alpha \in H(T_C)$. Using (27) and lemma 6 we obtain the estimate

$$|V_\alpha(z)| \leq \frac{d_1}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} (1 + \|z\|)^{2m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)} \right)^{3m} e^{b(y)}, \quad (28)$$

where $d_1 > 0$ is some constant not depending on $z = x + iy \in T_C$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Consider now the function $S(u, z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} V_\alpha(z) u^\alpha$, $z \in T_C, u \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Using (28) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S(u, z)| &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{d_1 \|u\|^{|\alpha|}}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} (1 + \|z\|)^{2m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} e^{b(y)} = \\
&= d_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} e^{b(y)} \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{\|u\|^{|\alpha|}}{\varepsilon_{m+1}^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{m+1}}{\varepsilon_m}\right)^{|\alpha|} \leq \\
&\leq d_1 e^{b(y)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{\|u\|^{|\alpha|}}{\varepsilon_{m+1}^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{m+1}}{\varepsilon_m}\right)^{|\alpha|} = \\
&\leq d_1 e^{b(y)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} e^{\omega_{m+1}(\|u\|)} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_{m+1}}\right)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for each $z \in T_C$ $S(z, z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} V_\alpha(z) z^\alpha = 0$. Then by lemma 11 there exist functions $S_1, \dots, S_n \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C)$ such that

$$S(z, \zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j(z, \zeta)(z_j - \zeta_j), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in T_C,$$

and numbers $d_2 > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in T_C$

$$|S_j(z, \zeta)| \leq d_2 (1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|)^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(\zeta)}\right)^N e^{\omega_{m+1}(\|z\|) + b(Im\zeta)}. \quad (29)$$

Next, we expand S_j in powers of z :

$$S_j(z, \zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) z^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \zeta \in T_C.$$

Using (29), (1) and Cauchy's inequality for coefficients of power series we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta)| &\leq \inf_{R>0} \frac{\max_{|z_1|=R, \dots, |z_n|=R} |S_j(z, \zeta)|}{R^{|\alpha|}} \leq \\
&\leq \inf_{R>0} \frac{d_3 e^{\omega_{m+2}(\sqrt{n}R)} e^{b(Im\zeta) + (N+3m) \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(\zeta)}) + N \ln(1 + \|\zeta\|)}}{R^{|\alpha|}} = \\
&= d_3 e^{b(Im\zeta)} e^{N \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{T_C}(\zeta)}) + N \ln(1 + \|\zeta\|)} \inf_{R>0} \frac{e^{\omega(R)} \sqrt{n}^{|\alpha|}}{(R \varepsilon_{m+2})^{|\alpha|}} =
\end{aligned}$$

$$= d_3 e^{b(Im\zeta)} e^{N \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{TC}(\zeta)}) + N \ln(1 + \|\zeta\|)} \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha|}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{m+2}} \right)^{|\alpha|},$$

where $d_3 > 0$ is some constant. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\varepsilon_k \sqrt{n} < \varepsilon_{m+2}$. Then

$$|S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{A_1 e^{b(Im\zeta)} e^{N \ln(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_{TC}(\zeta)}) + N \ln(1 + \|\zeta\|)}}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}, \quad \zeta \in T_C. \quad (30)$$

By theorem 2 there exist functionals $\psi_{j,\alpha} \in S^*(U)$ such that $\hat{\psi}_{j,\alpha} = S_{j,\alpha}$. By (30) it follows that the set $\{S_{j,\alpha} \varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ is bounded in $V_b(T_C)$. But then the set $\Psi = \{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|} \psi_{j,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, j=1, \dots, n}$ is bounded in $S^*(U)$. Hence it is weakly bounded. By Schwartz's theorem [1, p. 93] there exist numbers $d_4 > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|(F, \varphi)| \leq d_4 \|\varphi\|_{p,U}, \quad F \in \Psi, \quad \varphi \in S(U).$$

Thus, for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

$$|(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, f)| \leq \frac{d_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \|f\|_{p,U}, \quad f \in S(U). \quad (31)$$

For $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with at least one negative component let $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ be a zero functional on $S(U)$ and $S_{j,\alpha}(z) = 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(z, \zeta) &= \sum_{j=1}^n S_j(z, \zeta)(z_j - \zeta_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) z^\alpha (z_j - \zeta_j) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_j^{\alpha_j+1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n} - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) z^\alpha \zeta_j = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (S_{j,(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \dots, \alpha_n)}(\zeta) - S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) \zeta_j) z^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \zeta \in T_C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$V_\alpha(\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^n (S_{j,(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \dots, \alpha_n)}(\zeta) - S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) \zeta_j). \quad (32)$$

The expression to the right of (32) can be represented in the following form

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\hat{\Psi}_{j,(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \dots, \alpha_n)}(\zeta) + i(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}(e^{i\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle}))).$$

From this and theorem 2 it follows that

$$(T_\alpha, f) = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^n (i(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, (\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} f)) + (\Psi_{j,(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \dots, \alpha_n)}, f)), \quad f \in S(U).$$

So, for $f \in G_M(U)$

$$\begin{aligned} (T, f) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (T_\alpha, D^\alpha f) = \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (-i)^{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^n (i(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, (\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} D^\alpha f)) + (\Psi_{j,(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \dots, \alpha_n)}, D^\alpha f), \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$ define sets

$$B_N = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \alpha_1 \leq N, \dots, \alpha_n \leq N\},$$

$$R_{N,j} = \{\alpha_1 \leq N, \dots, \alpha_j = N, \dots, \alpha_n \leq N, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$$

and a functional T_N on $G_M(U)$ by the rule

$$(T_N, f) = \sum_{\alpha \in B_N} (-i)^{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^n (i(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, (\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} D^\alpha f)(\xi)) + (\Psi_{j,(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \dots, \alpha_n)}, D^\alpha f)).$$

Then $(T, f) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (T_N, f), \quad f \in G_M(U).$

From the representation

$$\begin{aligned} (T_N, f) &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\sum_{\alpha \in B_N} ((-i)^{|\alpha|} i(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} D^\alpha f) + \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in B_N} (-i)^{|\beta|} (\Psi_{j,(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{j-1}, \dots, \beta_n)}, D^\beta f)). \end{aligned}$$

we see that for fixed $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the terms corresponding to a multiindex α with $\alpha_1 \leq N, \dots, \alpha_j \leq N-1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq N$, and the summands corresponding to a multiindex $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \alpha_n$ with $\beta_1 = \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_j = \alpha_j + 1, \dots, \beta_n = \alpha_n$ annihilate each other. Hence

$$(T_N, f) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} (-i)^{|\alpha|} i(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} D^\alpha f), \quad f \in G_M(U).$$

Now, using (31) we have for $f \in G_M(U)$

$$|(T_N, f)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} |(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} D^\alpha f)| \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} \frac{d_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{\xi \in U, |\gamma| \leq p} (|D^\gamma (\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} D^\alpha f)(\xi)| (1 + \|\xi\|)^p) = \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} \frac{d_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{\xi \in U, |\gamma| \leq p} (|(D^{(\alpha_1+\gamma_1, \dots, \alpha_j+\gamma_j+1, \dots, \alpha_n+\gamma_n)} f)(\xi)| (1 + \|\xi\|)^p)).
\end{aligned}$$

Choose an integer $s > k$ so that $q = \frac{\varepsilon_s H_2}{\varepsilon_k} < 1$. Then for $f \in G_M(U)$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(T_N, f)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} \frac{d_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} p_s(f) \sup_{\xi \in U, |\gamma| \leq p} \frac{\varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1} M_{|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1}}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^{s-p}} \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} \frac{d_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} p_s(f) \varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|} \sup_{|\gamma| \leq p} \varepsilon_s^{|\gamma|+1} H_1 H_2^{|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1} M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\gamma|+1} \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} d_4 M_{p+1} p_s(f) \left(\frac{\varepsilon_s H_2}{\varepsilon_k} \right)^{|\alpha|} < \\
&< d_4 M_{p+1} p_s(f) n q^N (N+1)^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that for each $f \in G_M(U)$ $(T_N, f) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $(T, f) = 0$, $f \in G_M(U)$. So, T is a zero functional. We have proved that the map L is one-to-one.

By the open mapping theorem [17] L^{-1} is continuous. Thus, L is a topological isomorphism.

The proof of theorem 3 is complete.

§6. On a dual space for $E(U)$

Let $S \in G'_M(U)$. Define a functional T on $E(U)$ by the formula

$$(T, F) = (S, f), \quad F \in E(U), \quad f = F|U.$$

Obviously, T is a linear continuous functional on $E(U)$. Let the map $\mathcal{B}$ acts from $G'_M(U)$ to $E'(U)$ by the rule $\mathcal{B}(S) = T$. It is easy to see that the mapping $\mathcal{B}$ establishes topological isomorphism of spaces $S \in G'_M(U)$ and $E^*(U)$.

From this and the theorem 3 we obtain the following

Theorem 4. *The Laplace transform establishes topological isomorphism between the spaces $E^*(U)$ and $H_M(T_C)$.*

References

- [1] V.S. Vladimirov, *Generalized functions in mathematical physics*, Nauka, M., 1979.
- [2] J.W. de. Roever, *Complex Fourier transformation and analytic functionals with unbounded carriers*, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [3] I. Kh. Musin, P.V. Fedotova, A theorem of Paley-Wiener type for ultradistributions, *Matematicheskie Zametki*, 2009, **85**:6, 894-914 (in russian), *Mathematical Notes*, **85**:6, 848-867.
- [4] B.A. Taylor, Analytically uniform spaces of infinitely differentiable functions, *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, **24**:1 (1971), 39-51.
- [5] V.S. Vladimirov, Yu. N. Drozhzhinov, B.I. Zav'yalov, *Multidimensional tauber theorems for generalized functions*, Nauks, M., 1986.
- [6] V.S. Vladimirov, Functions holomorphic in tubular domains, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **27**:1 (1963), 75-100. (Russian)
- [7] V.S. Vladimirov, *Methods of the theory of functions of many complex variables*, Nauka, M., 1964.
- [8] J.W. de Roever, Analytic representation and Fourier transforms of analytic functional in Z' carried by the real space, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **9**:6 (1978), 996-1019.
- [9] J. Sebastião e Silva, Su certe classi di spazi localmente convessi importanti per le applicazioni, *Rend. Mat. e Appl.* **14** (1955).
- [10] V.V. Zharinov, Compact families of locally convex topological vector spaces, Frechet-Schwartz and dual Frechet-Schwartz spaces, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **34**:4 (1979), 97-131.
- [11] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, D. Van Nostrand Company, 1966.
- [12] L. Hörmander, L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator, *Acta Mathematica*, **113** (1965), no 1-2, 89-152.
- [13] V.V. Napalkov, *Convolution equations in multidimensional spaces*, Nauka, M., 1982.

- [14] R.S. Yulmukhametov, Entire functions of several variables with given behaviour at infinity, *Izvestiya Mathematics*, **60**:4 (1996), 205-224.
- [15] R.E. Edwards, *Functional analysis*, Holt, Pineart and Winston, 1965.
- [16] S. Mandelbrojt, *Séries adhérentes, régularisation des suites, applications*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1952A.
- [17] A.P. Robertson and W. Robertson, *Topological vector spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.

I.Kh. Musin,

Institute of mathematics with Computer centre, Chernyshevskii str., 112,
Ufa, 450077, Russia

E-mail address: musin@matem.anrb.ru

P.V. Fedotova,

Bashkirian state university, Ufa, 450000, Rusia

E-mail address: polina81@rambler.ru